
Planning for the 2026 Sunset of the Lifetime Gift & Estate Tax Exclusion

Background

The Federal gift and estate exclusion amount in 2023 stands at \$12.92 million per person. This represents the allowable sum that an individual can transfer, either during life or upon death, without incurring Federal gift or estate taxes.¹ It is important to note that if a portion of this exclusion is utilized for lifetime gifts, only the remaining balance is available for estate transfers upon death. In this Client Alert, this combined exclusion is referred to as the "lifetime exclusion."

The lifetime exclusion is subject to annual inflationary adjustments. For instance, in 2023, it increased by \$860,000, reaching \$12.92 million. Starting January 1, 2024, the lifetime exclusion will increase by \$690,000 to \$13.61 million.

A significant change is scheduled for January 1, 2026, when the lifetime exclusion is set to be reduced by half. The estate planning implications of this impending change are broad and much discussed in existing literature. This paper aims to add to the existing writings a few targeted planning tips for consideration.

To grasp the ideas effectively, it is important to understand that in 2010, the lifetime exclusion was established at \$5 million (termed the "base amount"), with subsequent adjustments for inflation starting in 2012. Under the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act of 2017 (the "2017 Act"), starting in 2018, the base amount was doubled to \$10 million.

With a \$10 million base amount, the lifetime exclusion became \$11.18 million in 2018, and the lifetime exclusion continued to increase with inflation to the current \$12.92 million in 2023. This doubling of the base amount created an unexpected windfall known as the "bonus exclusion."

Importantly, the 2017 Tax Act included a "sunset" provision, required by the "Byrd Rule,"² which mandates a return to the \$5 million base amount (adjusted for inflation since 2010) on January 1, 2026. This paper estimates that the inflationary-adjusted lifetime exclusion will drop to approximately \$7.18 million in 2026.

Assuming for this purpose that for years after 2024, a 2.68% inflation rate is used to estimate future lifetime exclusions, the lifetime exclusion for 2025 would be \$13.98 million. The sunset of the 2017 Tax Act in 2026 translates to an immediate reduction of \$6.8 million in the lifetime exclusion as of 2026. To put this into perspective, assume an individual, who has never used any lifetime exclusion, gives \$13.98 million on December 31, 2025. Because the gifts occurred in 2025, the gifts equal the individual's lifetime exclusion and

¹ Generally, three types of transfers do not use the lifetime exclusion, which are: (1) annual exclusion gifts, (2) marital gifts, and (3) charitable gifts. An annual exclusion gift is a gift of a present interest made by the maker of the gift, the donor, that does not exceed the annual exclusion amount as determined each year. For 2023, the annual exclusion is \$17,000, and it will be \$18,000 in 2024. Similar to the lifetime exclusion, the annual exclusion is subject to annual inflation adjustments. A marital gift is a gift made outright to a spouse, or a gift made in a special trust for a spouse, where the tax laws permit the gift in trust to be treated as if the gift was made to the spouse and is therefore entitled to a marital deduction. A charitable gift is a gift made outright to a charity, or a gift made to a special trust that is for the benefit of charity, where the tax laws permit part or all of the gift to be eligible for the charitable gift tax (for lifetime gifts) or charitable estate tax deduction (for testamentary gifts).

² The details of the Byrd Rule are beyond the scope of this Client Alert; however, to summarize, because a certain legislative process known as "Reconciliation" was used to pass the 2017 Tax Act, and because the increase in the lifetime exclusion cuts taxes and adds to the Federal deficit, the legislative rules require a sunset or "expunging" of the legislation at a certain future date, within ten years of enactment. In this situation, that date is December 31, 2025.

therefore none of the gifts are subject to gift tax liability. However, if the gifts are made on January 1, 2026, the gifts would incur a gift tax liability of \$2.72 million (\$6.8 million * 40%). This underscores the real value of the bonus exclusion. For a married couple, the impact is effectively doubled, so the married couple loses \$5.44 million in tax value of combined lifetime exclusions.

It is crucial to note that the bonus exclusion is in addition to the original \$5 million base amount, and it cannot be used until the entire original base amount (adjusted for inflation since 2010) is exhausted. Consequently, donors cannot access and use the bonus exclusion before December 31, 2025, while preserving the original base amount of \$5 million (adjusted for inflation since 2010) for post-January 1, 2026 use.

Strategy Planning

Should all the 2025 lifetime exclusion be utilized by December 31, 2025?

For some, the answer to this question is straightforward. Utilizing the entire lifetime exclusion by December 31, 2025, including the bonus exclusion, eliminates future appreciation on the assets given from the donor's taxable estate and allows for the transfer tax advantages of grantor trust status.³ A donor who uses all of the bonus exclusion by December 31, 2025 will not face gift tax liability following the sunset with respect to such pre-2026 gifts.

However, for others, giving \$13.98 million by the end of 2025 can be an overwhelming, if not impossible,

| Chart A | | |
|--|------|--|
| Projecting the point at which the inflation adjustments will cross over the projected 2026 exclusion plus the potentially lost bonus exclusion of \$6,800,000. | | |
| # | Year | Expected Exclusion 2.68% inflation rate |
| 1 | 2026 | \$7,180,000.00 |
| 2 | 2027 | \$7,380,000.00 |
| 3 | 2028 | \$7,580,000.00 |
| 4 | 2029 | \$7,790,000.00 |
| 5 | 2030 | \$8,000,000.00 |
| 6 | 2031 | \$8,220,000.00 |
| 7 | 2032 | \$8,450,000.00 |
| 8 | 2033 | \$8,680,000.00 |
| 9 | 2034 | \$8,920,000.00 |
| 10 | 2035 | \$9,160,000.00 |
| 11 | 2036 | \$9,410,000.00 |
| 12 | 2037 | \$9,670,000.00 |
| 13 | 2038 | \$9,930,000.00 |
| 14 | 2039 | \$10,200,000.00 |
| 15 | 2040 | \$10,480,000.00 |
| 16 | 2041 | \$10,770,000.00 |
| 17 | 2042 | \$11,060,000.00 |
| 18 | 2043 | \$11,360,000.00 |
| 19 | 2044 | \$11,670,000.00 |
| 20 | 2045 | \$11,990,000.00 |
| 21 | 2046 | \$12,320,000.00 |
| 22 | 2047 | \$12,660,000.00 |
| 23 | 2048 | \$13,000,000.00 |
| 24 | 2049 | \$13,350,000.00 |
| 25 | 2050 | \$13,710,000.00 |
| 26 | 2051 | \$14,080,000.00 |
| 27 | 2052 | \$14,460,000.00 |
| 28 | 2053 | \$14,850,000.00 |
| 29 | 2054 | \$15,250,000.00 |
| 30 | 2055 | \$15,660,000.00 |
| 31 | 2056 | \$16,090,000.00 |

prospect. In 2025, a married couple could potentially give an estimated \$27.96 million without triggering gift tax liability. Even for most individuals with substantial estates, this represents a significant portion of their wealth.

Considerable thought and effort have gone into developing strategies that enable donors to make gifts, utilize the lifetime exclusion, and retain some capacity to benefit from these gifts if future needs arise. Common strategies like spousal limited access trusts (SLATs) are designed to mitigate the financial risks associated with gifting but are not the primary focus of this Client Alert, as ample literature already covers them. Instead, the focus is to delve into the lesser-discussed implications of the upcoming sunset provision.

TIP #1 – Inflation adjustments continue after sunset

It is crucial to understand that, assuming current law, inflation adjustments to the lifetime exclusion will continue indefinitely, even after the base amount reverts to \$5 million on January 1, 2026. As illustrated in Chart A, applying a 2.68% annual inflationary rate for all years beginning in 2025, the lifetime exclusion will continue to grow in subsequent years, eventually surpassing the projected 2025 amount of \$13.98 million.⁴ Therefore, while the bonus exclusion might seem lost, the loss is only temporary, as the bonus exclusion effectively reemerges over time.

TIP #2 – Life expectancy is implicated

If the donor lives until 2051 (the "crossover point"), the entirety of the bonus exclusion will have been restored. For donors not contemplating taxable gifts and who survive until this point, the sunset provision becomes less relevant.

The lifetime exclusion available to a donor is "locked-in" at the time of death. Therefore, if the donor dies before 2051, any remaining deferred bonus exclusion is lost forever. This underscores the importance of

³ There are potential income tax detrimental impacts of gifts, which are beyond the scope of this article.

⁴ We are assuming consistent law for a long time in the future.

considering the donor's life expectancy when deciding whether to use the entire remaining lifetime exclusion before December 31, 2025.

For younger donors, surviving until the crossover point may not pose significant risks. However, for others, it could be more uncertain. This academic point becomes practical only if the donor can afford to make substantial gifts that exceed the inflationary-adjusted \$5 million base amount.

TIP #3— the Sunset may not be impactful to everyone

Even for donors who give larger amounts beyond the annual gift tax exclusion (i.e. \$17,000 in 2023), the sunset may not be impactful. For example, some donors opt for making annual taxable gifts (ATGs) to family members or trusts benefiting their loved ones. This strategic approach offers immediate assistance to recipients, as opposed to waiting until the donor's passing for inheritance, and it can yield significant estate tax savings. Instead of giving a lump sum, ATGs serve to mitigate financial risks for donors by making smaller more manageable gifts over the donor's lifetime.⁵

Consider an illustrative example with Jack, age 65, who has not previously made taxable gifts and who consistently makes ATGs of \$500,000 each year following the occurrence of the sunset provision. Chart B provides insights into the implications, considering the sunset provision and post-sunset inflation adjustments to the lifetime exclusion.

Remarkably, Jack avoids reaching a point where gift tax liability is imposed. Jack's plan carries him beyond the age of 95, where the annual inflation adjustment is approaching the value of his \$500,000 gift. If this annual giving aligns with Jack's intentions, the occurrence of the sunset provision does not substantially impact his strategy.

The final column in Chart B assesses the potential loss of the bonus exclusion if Jack were to die between 2026 and 2049. This concept follows the theme of this paper, which is to demonstrate the possibility that the sunset provision may not drastically alter all taxpayers' planning and to further highlight the potential for less favorable outcomes related to not using the bonus exclusion by December 31, 2025.

| Chart B | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------|---------------------|---|------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|------------------|---|--------------|-------------------------|--|--|
| Age of Jack | Prior Exclusion Use | Desired Annual Gift | Gift Tax Paid on Prior Gifts | Desired Annual Gift | Gift Tax Payable | Gift Tax Payable | Age of Donor | Value of Lost Exclusion in the event of Death | | | | |
| 65 | \$ - | \$ 500,000 | \$ - | \$ 500,000 | \$ - | \$ - | 65 | Projected 2025 bonus exclusion of \$6,800,000 lost if death occurs b/f crossover point at 40% | | | | |
| # | Year | Projected Exclusion Amt @ 2.68% Inflation | Prior ATGs | Desired Annual Gift | Total Taxable Gifts | Exclusion Remaining | Gift Tax Payable | Cummulative Gift Tax Payable | Age of Donor | Value of lost exclusion | | |
| 1 | 2026 | 7,180,000 | - | 500,000 | 500,000 | 6,680,000 | - | - | 65 | 6,420,000 2,568,000 | | |
| 2 | 2027 | 7,380,000 | 500,000 | 500,000 | 1,000,000 | 6,380,000 | - | - | 66 | 6,220,000 2,408,000 | | |
| 3 | 2028 | 7,580,000 | 1,000,000 | 500,000 | 1,500,000 | 6,080,000 | - | - | 67 | 6,020,000 2,408,000 | | |
| 4 | 2029 | 7,790,000 | 1,500,000 | 500,000 | 2,000,000 | 5,790,000 | - | - | 68 | 5,810,000 2,324,000 | | |
| 5 | 2030 | 8,000,000 | 2,000,000 | 500,000 | 2,500,000 | 5,500,000 | - | - | 69 | 5,600,000 2,240,000 | | |
| 6 | 2031 | 8,220,000 | 2,500,000 | 500,000 | 3,000,000 | 5,220,000 | - | - | 70 | 5,380,000 2,152,000 | | |
| 7 | 2032 | 8,450,000 | 3,000,000 | 500,000 | 3,500,000 | 4,950,000 | - | - | 71 | 5,150,000 2,060,000 | | |
| 8 | 2033 | 8,680,000 | 3,500,000 | 500,000 | 4,000,000 | 4,680,000 | - | - | 72 | 4,920,000 1,968,000 | | |
| 9 | 2034 | 8,920,000 | 4,000,000 | 500,000 | 4,500,000 | 4,420,000 | - | - | 73 | 4,680,000 1,872,000 | | |
| 10 | 2035 | 9,160,000 | 4,500,000 | 500,000 | 5,000,000 | 4,160,000 | - | - | 74 | 4,440,000 1,776,000 | | |
| 11 | 2036 | 9,410,000 | 5,000,000 | 500,000 | 5,500,000 | 3,910,000 | - | - | 75 | 4,190,000 1,676,000 | | |
| 12 | 2037 | 9,670,000 | 5,500,000 | 500,000 | 6,000,000 | 3,670,000 | - | - | 76 | 3,930,000 1,572,000 | | |
| 13 | 2038 | 9,930,000 | 6,000,000 | 500,000 | 6,500,000 | 3,430,000 | - | - | 77 | 3,670,000 1,468,000 | | |
| 14 | 2039 | 10,200,000 | 6,500,000 | 500,000 | 7,000,000 | 3,200,000 | - | - | 78 | 3,400,000 1,360,000 | | |
| 15 | 2040 | 10,480,000 | 7,000,000 | 500,000 | 7,500,000 | 2,980,000 | - | - | 79 | 3,120,000 1,248,000 | | |
| 16 | 2041 | 10,770,000 | 7,500,000 | 500,000 | 8,000,000 | 2,770,000 | - | - | 80 | 2,830,000 1,132,000 | | |
| 17 | 2042 | 11,060,000 | 8,000,000 | 500,000 | 8,500,000 | 2,560,000 | - | - | 81 | 2,540,000 1,016,000 | | |
| 18 | 2043 | 11,360,000 | 8,500,000 | 500,000 | 9,000,000 | 2,360,000 | - | - | 82 | 2,240,000 896,000 | | |
| 19 | 2044 | 11,670,000 | 9,000,000 | 500,000 | 9,500,000 | 2,170,000 | - | - | 83 | 1,930,000 772,000 | | |
| 20 | 2045 | 11,990,000 | 9,500,000 | 500,000 | 10,000,000 | 1,990,000 | - | - | 84 | 1,610,000 644,000 | | |
| 21 | 2046 | 12,320,000 | 10,000,000 | 500,000 | 10,500,000 | 1,820,000 | - | - | 85 | 1,280,000 512,000 | | |
| 22 | 2047 | 12,660,000 | 10,500,000 | 500,000 | 11,000,000 | 1,660,000 | - | - | 86 | 940,000 376,000 | | |
| 23 | 2048 | 13,000,000 | 11,000,000 | 500,000 | 11,500,000 | 1,500,000 | - | - | 87 | 600,000 240,000 | | |
| 24 | 2049 | 13,350,000 | 11,500,000 | 500,000 | 12,000,000 | 1,350,000 | - | - | 88 | 250,000 100,000 | | |
| 25 | 2050 | 13,710,000 | 12,000,000 | 500,000 | 12,500,000 | 1,210,000 | - | - | 89 | | | |
| 26 | 2051 | 14,080,000 | 12,500,000 | 500,000 | 13,000,000 | 1,080,000 | - | - | 90 | | | |
| 27 | 2052 | 14,460,000 | 13,000,000 | 500,000 | 13,500,000 | 960,000 | - | - | 91 | | | |
| 28 | 2053 | 14,850,000 | 13,500,000 | 500,000 | 14,000,000 | 850,000 | - | - | 92 | | | |
| 29 | 2054 | 15,250,000 | 14,000,000 | 500,000 | 14,500,000 | 750,000 | - | - | 93 | | | |
| 30 | 2055 | 15,660,000 | 14,500,000 | 500,000 | 15,000,000 | 660,000 | - | - | 94 | | | |
| 31 | 2056 | 16,090,000 | 15,000,000 | 500,000 | 15,500,000 | 590,000 | - | - | 95 | | | |

⁵ See e.g., Franklin & Law, *Never Pay Estate Taxes - The Annual Taxable Gift Approach with a CLAT Remainder*, 46th Annual Estate Planning Seminar, Estate Planning Council of Portland, Oregon (January 20, 2017); Franklin & Law, *Extraordinary, Efficient, Elegant, Evolutionary: The Annual Taxable Gifts Approach and Testamentary CLAT Remainder*, 51st Heckerling Institute on Estate Planning (January 11, 2017).

Essentially, gifts made by Jill, and any taxpayer who has used the full amount of the lifetime exclusion prior to 2026, will be fully taxable until the “crossover point.”

However, Jill's substantial gifts utilizing her bonus exclusion before January 1, 2026 might eliminate the necessity for further taxable gifts in 2026 and beyond. For instance, suppose in 2025, Jill directed her large gifts to an irrevocable family trust for her descendants, and, from 2026 to 2051, that trust disbursed \$500,000 annually to her descendants (equivalent to what Jill would have otherwise given to them). This plan mitigates the risks associated with Jill passing away before 2051 and forfeiting the value of the bonus exclusion.

Nonetheless, unforeseen scenarios may arise in the future. For instance, in 2028, Jill's sister might require financial assistance, and Jill might desire to give her \$1 million. It's unlikely that Jill had planned for her sister to be a beneficiary of the irrevocable trust to which she made substantial gifts in 2025. Consequently, if Jill gives her sister \$1 million in 2028, the gift will trigger a gift tax of \$400,000 (\$1 million x 40%) as Jill would have no available lifetime exclusion until approximately 2051.

As illustrated in Chart B, the annual inflation adjustment ultimately exceeds the utilized bonus exclusion, and after that point, the inflation adjustment becomes accessible to shelter a portion of Jill's taxable gifts from gift tax liability.

| Chart E | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|------|---|------------|------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|
| Age of Jill | | 65 | | | | | | | | |
| Prior Exclusion Use | | \$ 8,000,000 | | Gift Tax Paid on Prior Gifts | | \$ - | | Desired Annual Gift | | \$ 500,000 |
| # | Year | Projected Exclusion Amt @ 2.68% Inflation | Prior ATGs | Desired Annual Gift | Total Taxable Gifts | Exclusion Remaining | Taxable Gift | Gift Tax Payable | Cumulative Gift Tax Payable | Age of Donor |
| 1 | 2026 | 7,180,000 | 8,000,000 | 500,000 | 8,500,000 | - | 500,000 | 200,000 | 200,000 | 65 |
| 2 | 2027 | 7,380,000 | 8,500,000 | 500,000 | 9,000,000 | - | 500,000 | 200,000 | 400,000 | 66 |
| 3 | 2028 | 7,580,000 | 9,000,000 | 500,000 | 9,500,000 | - | 500,000 | 200,000 | 600,000 | 67 |
| 4 | 2029 | 7,790,000 | 9,500,000 | 500,000 | 10,000,000 | - | 500,000 | 200,000 | 800,000 | 68 |
| 5 | 2030 | 8,000,000 | 10,000,000 | 500,000 | 10,500,000 | - | 500,000 | 200,000 | 1,000,000 | 69 |
| 6 | 2031 | 8,220,000 | 10,500,000 | 500,000 | 11,000,000 | - | 280,000 | 112,000 | 1,112,000 | 70 |
| 7 | 2032 | 8,450,000 | 11,000,000 | 500,000 | 11,500,000 | - | 270,000 | 108,000 | 1,220,000 | 71 |
| 8 | 2033 | 8,680,000 | 11,500,000 | 500,000 | 12,000,000 | - | 270,000 | 108,000 | 1,328,000 | 72 |
| 9 | 2034 | 8,920,000 | 12,000,000 | 500,000 | 12,500,000 | - | 260,000 | 104,000 | 1,432,000 | 73 |
| 10 | 2035 | 9,160,000 | 12,500,000 | 500,000 | 13,000,000 | - | 260,000 | 104,000 | 1,536,000 | 74 |
| 11 | 2036 | 9,410,000 | 13,000,000 | 500,000 | 13,500,000 | - | 250,000 | 100,000 | 1,636,000 | 75 |
| 12 | 2037 | 9,670,000 | 13,500,000 | 500,000 | 14,000,000 | - | 240,000 | 96,000 | 1,732,000 | 76 |
| 13 | 2038 | 9,930,000 | 14,000,000 | 500,000 | 14,500,000 | - | 240,000 | 96,000 | 1,828,000 | 77 |
| 14 | 2039 | 10,200,000 | 14,500,000 | 500,000 | 15,000,000 | - | 230,000 | 92,000 | 1,920,000 | 78 |
| 15 | 2040 | 10,480,000 | 15,000,000 | 500,000 | 15,500,000 | - | 220,000 | 88,000 | 2,008,000 | 79 |
| 16 | 2041 | 10,770,000 | 15,500,000 | 500,000 | 16,000,000 | - | 210,000 | 84,000 | 2,092,000 | 80 |
| 17 | 2042 | 11,060,000 | 16,000,000 | 500,000 | 16,500,000 | - | 210,000 | 84,000 | 2,176,000 | 81 |
| 18 | 2043 | 11,360,000 | 16,500,000 | 500,000 | 17,000,000 | - | 200,000 | 80,000 | 2,256,000 | 82 |
| 19 | 2044 | 11,670,000 | 17,000,000 | 500,000 | 17,500,000 | - | 190,000 | 76,000 | 2,332,000 | 83 |
| 20 | 2045 | 11,990,000 | 17,500,000 | 500,000 | 18,000,000 | - | 180,000 | 72,000 | 2,404,000 | 84 |
| 21 | 2046 | 12,320,000 | 18,000,000 | 500,000 | 18,500,000 | - | 170,000 | 68,000 | 2,472,000 | 85 |
| 22 | 2047 | 12,660,000 | 18,500,000 | 500,000 | 19,000,000 | - | 160,000 | 64,000 | 2,536,000 | 86 |
| 23 | 2048 | 13,000,000 | 19,000,000 | 500,000 | 19,500,000 | - | 160,000 | 64,000 | 2,600,000 | 87 |
| 24 | 2049 | 13,350,000 | 19,500,000 | 500,000 | 20,000,000 | - | 150,000 | 60,000 | 2,660,000 | 88 |
| 25 | 2050 | 13,710,000 | 20,000,000 | 500,000 | 20,500,000 | - | 140,000 | 56,000 | 2,716,000 | 89 |
| 26 | 2051 | 14,080,000 | 20,500,000 | 500,000 | 21,000,000 | - | 130,000 | 52,000 | 2,768,000 | 90 |
| 27 | 2052 | 14,460,000 | 21,000,000 | 500,000 | 21,500,000 | - | 120,000 | 48,000 | 2,816,000 | 91 |
| 28 | 2053 | 14,850,000 | 21,500,000 | 500,000 | 22,000,000 | - | 110,000 | 44,000 | 2,860,000 | 92 |
| 29 | 2054 | 15,250,000 | 22,000,000 | 500,000 | 22,500,000 | - | 100,000 | 40,000 | 2,900,000 | 93 |
| 30 | 2055 | 15,660,000 | 22,500,000 | 500,000 | 23,000,000 | - | 90,000 | 36,000 | 2,936,000 | 94 |
| 31 | 2056 | 16,090,000 | 23,000,000 | 500,000 | 23,500,000 | - | 70,000 | 28,000 | 2,964,000 | 95 |

Should Jill have used only a portion of the bonus exclusion before January 1, 2026, the "crossover point" would occur earlier in time. Chart E illustrates this scenario, assuming that Jill had utilized \$8 million of her lifetime exclusion by the end of 2025. Now, the crossover point shifts to 2031.

As previously mentioned, employing the entire lifetime exclusion, including the bonus exclusion, by December 31, 2025, offers significant tax and non-tax advantages for those with the means to do so. However, donors need to be aware that future taxable gifts will necessitate the payment of gift taxes.

TIP #7 – Other strategies exist for enabling gifts without gift taxation

For donors who have utilized all or part of the bonus exclusion by December 31, 2025, other strategies remain available to facilitate gifts without incurring gift taxes. These strategies include leveraging the annual gift tax exclusion of \$17,000 per person, per year, as well as unlimited gift exclusions for tuition and medical payments. Additionally, tools such as GRATs and loans can be employed to benefit family members without triggering gift tax liability.

Conclusion

The lifetime exclusion is at an all-time high, but it will be halved on January 1, 2026. Donors considering whether to use all or a portion of the lifetime exclusion (and how to do so) in advance of this change face many complex decisions. Hopefully, these tips are of service in your thought process.

* * * * *

FKL Thought Leadership and News:

At FKL, we are committed to being innovative and creative leaders in the trusts & estates practice. Here are some examples:

Lester Law, as of this summer, has now completed his fifteenth year as an instructor at the Florida Banker's Wealth Management and Trust School, presenting on income, gift, estate and GST taxes and estate planning. He also recently presented *Tax Consideration When Migrating to Florida* for the Martin County Estate Planning Council on September 21, 2023 and *Important Tips for Form 706 / Form 709 Preparation (Some Obvious – Others Not so Obvious)* at the 71th Annual Montana Tax Institute on October 13, 2023 in Missoula, Montana.

George Karibjanian recently presented a webinar for Leimberg Information Services based on his November 2022 Bloomberg Tax Management Estates, Gifts and Trusts Article titled *The Analysis and Viability of Statutes Creating "Back-End SLATS"* and will also be presenting a yet-to-be-determined for the Southern Nevada Estate Planning Council in December. In Spring 2024, George will be presenting his *The "Baker's Dozen" – 13 Things That You May Not Know About Preparing a Federal Estate Tax Return* at the ACTEC Florida Fellows Institute in Naples, Florida and at the ACTEC Heart of America Fellows Institute in St. Louis, Missouri.

Nicholeen DePersis was recently accepted into the Washington, DC Estate Planning Council ("WDCEPC") and is currently serving on the Membership Committee of the WDCEPC.

Richard Franklin will be presenting *Well-Being in Estate Planning* during an ABA webinar eCLE program in December 2023. Richard will also be leading a new subcommittee at the American College of Trust & Estate Counsel focused on assisting ACTEC Fellows to incorporate well-being science and ideas into their practices, address client concerns over inheritance, inform estate planning design recommendations, and provide examples and language to prepare trusts that are more likely to improve beneficiary well-being. The new subcommittee will be under the Practice Committee.

We also welcome our new in-house inheritance coach/consultant to our team! Claudia Tordini joined us in July, bringing an extensive background in coaching and consulting. She and Richard Franklin are incorporating researched based ideas from positive psychology and well-being science into the trust & estate setting, with the goal of making inheritance a positive resource for having a better life. Claudia holds a masters from the U. Penn. in organization dynamics and executive coaching, as well as a Wharton MBA and an industrial engineering degree.

If you have any questions about using lifetime exclusion or the changes coming in 2026, please contact one of our lawyers.

RICHARD S. FRANKLIN [bio](#)
rfranklin@fkl-law.com

GEORGE D. KARIBJANIAN [bio](#)
gkaribjanian@fkl-law.com

LESTER B. LAW [bio](#)
lblaw@fkl-law.com

NICHOLEEN DePERSIS [bio](#)
ndepersis@fkl-law.com

JANET B. LAW [bio](#)
jlaw@fkl-law.com

DISCLAIMER: This material is not intended to constitute a complete analysis of all tax or legal considerations. The charts and calculations are estimated and for illustration purposes only – i.e., actual results will vary. This material is not intended to provide financial, tax, legal, accounting, or other professional advice. Consult with your professional adviser to obtain counsel based on your individual circumstances.